

44-3281

**BRIEF OF APPELLANT**

**3381**

**United States Court of Appeals**

---

NO. 20917

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

vs.

MICHAEL ALLAN McCOWAN,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court,  
Southern District of California, Central  
Division, Honorable Charles H. Carr, Judge.

**FILED**

OCT 14 1966

WM. B. LUCK, CLERK

RUSSELL E. PARSONS  
RICHARD CHRISTENSEN  
BY: RUSSELL E. PARSONS  
205 South Broadway  
Los Angeles, Calif. 90012  
Attorneys for Appellant



## TOPICAL INDEX

	Page
Statement of pleadings and facts disclosing jurisdiction.....	2
Jurisdiction.....	5
Statutes involved.....	5
Specification of error.....	7
Summary of argument.....	8
Statement of Facts.....	10
For the plaintiff-appellee:	
Jean Ortiz.....	10
Edward Tully.....	14
Garth Gledhill.....	15
John Brayman.....	17
Deposition of John Brooks Runyon.....	17
Charles Matheny.....	18
William H. Tansey.....	18
Stanley H. Jensen.....	19
J. P. Keown.....	23
Government rests.....	23
Motion for judgment of acquittal.....	23
For the defendant-appellant:	
Michael McCowan.....	24
Ira Reiner.....	34
Gerard Vaccaro.....	35
Rosemarie Gruenwald.....	36
James A. Malucci.....	36
Catherine McCowan.....	36
Gerard Vaccaro (Recalled).....	37
Nicholas Cipriano.....	38
Earl Osadchey.....	39
David Victor Stanton.....	39
Alice Cipriano.....	40
John E. O'Grady.....	40
Patrick H. Long.....	41
Pat Kealy.....	44
George E. O'Nan.....	44



## TOPICAL INDEX

	Page
Statement of Facts (continued)	
Rebuttal Witnesses:	
Stanley H. Jensen.....	45
J. P. Keown.....	45
For the defendant-appellant:	
Olive Starkweather.....	45
Gerard Vaccaro (Recalled).....	46
Defense closed.....	46
Government closed.....	46
Motion for judgment of acquittal.....	46
Argument.....	47
I	
The court erred in denying the motions for judgment of acquittal. The evidence was not sufficient to sustain the trial judgment on both counts or either count.....	47
Ancillary to this, and controlling, we respectfully urge that the court had no jurisdiction to try this case. McCowan was a sender, and when the package was returned to him the postal authorities and the United States District Court lost jurisdiction to try McCowan.....	47
II	
The United States Attorney was guilty of misconduct in the course of cross-examination of a character witness of defendant-appellant - such as could not be cured by an instruction to disregard the same, as given by the court.....	51
III	
Incidents which denied defendant- appellant a fair trial, as guaranteed by the Constitution: .....	54



## TOPICAL INDEX

	Page
Argument Point III (continued)	
A. The new indictment after the mistrial, switching the allegations, and doing so to encompass the testi- mony developed in the first trial.....	54
B. The question put to one character witness and sought to be presented to the numerous character witnesses.....	54
C. The questions put to the defend- ant about his legal education.....	55
D. The questions about defendant- appellant's intimacy with the government's female witness.....	54
What is a fair trial? .....	55
Conclusion.....	56



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases	Page
Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78.....	9, 53, 54
Krulewitch v. United States, 336 U.S. 440.....	8, 54
Miller v. United States, 120 F. 2d 968.....	55
United States v. Bullington, 170 Fed. Rptr. 121.....	8, 23, 47
United States v. Driscoll, 1 Lowell, 303, Fed. Cas. No. 14,994.....	50
United States v. Parsons, 2 Blatchf. 104, 106 Fed. Cas. No. 16,000.....	50
United States v. Safford, 66 Fed. Rptr. 942.....	48, 49, 50
Vierick v. United States, 318 U.S. 236.....	9, 53
 Statutes	
18 U.S.C. 1702.....	5, 6
18 U.S.C. 1708.....	6
18 U.S.C. 3231.....	2
28 U.S.C. 1291.....	5
28 U.S.C. 1294(1).....	5
 Rules	
Rule 37a - Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure....	5
Rule 29 - Rules on Appeals.....	3, 46

